

Liskell

Lisp Syntax with Haskell Semantics

ILC07

Clemens FRUHWIRTH
clemens@endorphin.org

Static Typing

- Static typing means doing type checking at compile time
- Catch errors earlier
- Programs that compile work (yes, I'm serious)
- No runtime type checking
- Requires no type tags for objects

Pure Programming

- Side Effects depend on the order of evaluation
- Pure programming means side effect free programming
- When we omit side effects the order of evaluation becomes unimportant
- Removing order and sequence allows parallelization (vectorization)

Type inference

- Type annotations are boring and cost programmer time
- Type inference frees you from type annotations
- Type inference is guaranteed to work by the language design in Haskell

Why not Haskell?

- Syntax uncomfortable for editing
- Inflexible Syntax
- Meta-Programming not integrated well due to syntax

Why Liskell?

- Haskell inside
 - Functional, Pure, Lazy, Strong and Static Typing, Type Inference, Type Classes
- Lisp outside
 - Symbolic expression syntax, Meta-Programming

Haskell vs. Liskell

```
module Main where

fact1 n =
  if n == 0
    then 1
  else n * (fact1 (n-1))

fact2 n =
  let rec = fact2 (n-1)
  in case n == 0 of
    True -> 1
    False -> n * rec
```

```
(defmodule Main _ ())

(define (fact1 n)
  (if (== n 0)
      1
      (* n (fact1 (- n 1)))))

(define (fact2 n)
  (let ((rec (fact2 (- n 1))))
    (case (== n 0)
      (True 1)
      (False (* n rec)))))
```

Live Coding

Meta-programming in Liskell

- The compilation environment contains a series of Parse Tree Transformers
- The compilation environment is mutable by the source code compiled
- Parse Tree Transformers are an abstraction of Macros
- backquoting and defmacro are built on top of Parse Tree Transformers

cond expansion

- We can implement cond by expanding it to nested if clauses.

```
(cond ((> a b) LT)
      ((> b a) GT)
      (True EQ)) → (if (> a b)
                      LT
                      (if (> b a)
                          GT
                          (if True
                              EQ
                              (error "..."))))
```

cond implemented as macro

```
(defmacro (cond pts)
  (case pts
    ((Nil) `(error "Broken cond"))
    ((Cons (Cons guard
                  (Cons action Nil))
           rest)
     `(if ,guard
          ,action
          (cond ,@rest)))))  
  
(add-dspr (expression cond))
```

Performance of Lis/Haskell

| Program & Logs | Execution Time (Faster) | Memory Use (Smaller) |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| binary-trees | -1 | 3.9 |
| chameneos | 7.7 | 32 |
| fannkuch | -2.5 | 2.7 |
| fasta | -2.1 | 98 |
| k-nucleotide | 1.4 | -1.9 |
| mandelbrot | -1 | 4.8 |
| n-body | -1.8 | 3.8 |
| nsieve | 1.5 | 4.6 |
| nsieve-bits | 1.2 | 3.5 |
| partial-sums | -1.2 | 4.2 |
| pidigits | 2.2 | 9 |
| recursive | 1.2 | 6 |
| reverse-complemen | -2.5 | -1.2 |
| spectral-norm | -2.4 | 17 |
| startup | 6.9 | |
| sum-file | 1.9 | 3.9 |

Conclusions

- Types are a cheap but effective safety net
- Functional pure programming is worth pursuing (vectorization)
- Liskell dresses Haskell nicely
- Liskell is available
- Liskell extends meta-programming into the pure functional programming realm